

A COVID-19 directors' guide to avoiding personal liability in circumstances of a company's financial distress and possible insolvency

September 2020

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic health crisis is quickly evolving into a major economic crisis. Government measures to slow the virus' spread and protect citizens' health are having severe knock-on effects for businesses. Measures to shut down non-essential services are making the situation critical for business continuity.

Many sectors in the economy will largely or wholly cease operating for an indeterminate period, before being able to resume "business as usual" operations. Many affected enterprises will not survive, at least without significant adjustments to their business and support from key stakeholders. Some previously healthy and profitable businesses will, all of a sudden, be in acute distress.

In this context, it is important that directors are fully aware of their duties and responsibilities, particularly if their business is experiencing cash flow shortages, financial distress, or possible insolvency.

The Australian Government has responded to the current crises with a number of financial assistance measures and adjustments to insolvency laws.

Of particular importance to directors, the Government has enacted a COVID-19 safe harbour from insolvent trading liability for transactions taking place in the ordinary course of business. This is a welcome development for directors trying to deal with the current crisis.

However, directors should be aware that any transactions outside the ordinary course will also be outside this particular safe harbour and will be subject to the more stringent safe harbour provisions which existed pre-COVID-19.

As well, directors have ongoing duties, including statutory duties which have been enacted only in the last 12 months, such as duties to avoid "creditor-defeating dispositions" and duties to avoid transactions which would have the effect of reducing recovery of employee entitlements.

Below is a guide to assist directors navigate the troubled waters of director liability in the current COVID-19 environment.

Insolvent trading liability

A company director has an obligation to prevent insolvent trading under section 588G of the *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth).

Insolvent trading occurs if, when incurring debts:

- The company is or will become insolvent by incurring the debts.
- There are reasonable grounds for suspecting that the company is insolvent, or would become insolvent by incurring the debts.

A director will be liable for insolvent trading if the director was aware or suspected that the company was or would become insolvent when it incurred the debts or a reasonable person standing in the director's shoes would have been so aware.

A breach of the duty to avoid insolvent trading can lead to:

- A recovery action against the director for the creditors' loss arising from the insolvent trading. This is recoverable as a debt due to the company or as a compensation order.
- Civil penalties including pecuniary penalty orders arising from proceedings brought by the Australian Investments and Securities Commission.
- In appropriate cases, criminal actions.

When is a company insolvent?

A company is insolvent when it is unable to pay all of its debts, as and when they become due and payable. A company's solvency is determined by reference to all of the company's circumstances and the commercial realities surrounding it.

Often, a company's ability to meet debts will depend upon a line of credit or other funding to meet the company's liabilities when they become payable:

- Establishing solvency does not require that the company be able to pay all of its debts from its own resources. If, as a matter of commercial reality, the company has a resource available to pay all its debts as they become payable, such as a loan or a voluntary extension of credit by another party, it may be solvent.
- Unsecured borrowings, debts placed on deferred payment terms or the support of directors or shareholders by unsecured loans may assist a company's solvency. However, where borrowed funds are available for a very short term, or the funds are repayable on demand, this may not enhance solvency, as it merely substitutes one form of immediate (or near immediate) obligation for another.
- A contractual agreement to subordinate the debt of one unsecured creditor (such as a director's loan or related-party loan) to the debts of other secured creditors can assist in establishing solvency.

Avoiding insolvent trading liability

COVID-19 'safe harbour' from insolvent trading liability (section 588GAAA of the Corporations Act)

Until 31 December 2020,¹ directors can avoid insolvent trading liability if their company incurs debts "in the ordinary course of the company's business."

This moratorium was legislated in the *Coronavirus Economic Response Package Omnibus Act 2020* (Cth) which came into effect on 25 March 2020. The explanatory memorandum to the legislation identifies that debts may be incurred while a company is insolvent if it "is necessary to facilitate the continuation of the business." It provides examples such as:

- "A director taking out a loan to move some business operations online."
- "Debts incurred through continuing to pay employees during the Coronavirus pandemic."

Whether or not certain debts are incurred "in the ordinary course of business" will be a circumstantial question which relates to the nature of the company's business and the kinds of debts it would ordinarily incur as part of its usual trading operations. There may be some 'grey' areas if the debts incurred are new or different to those previously incurred.

Debts incurred as part of an attempt to restructure a business in financial distress would be unlikely to be considered debts incurred in the ordinary course of business. However, directors undertaking such transactions might otherwise obtain the protection of the pre-COVID-19 safe harbour provisions (described further below).

Appointment of administrators (section 436A of the Corporations Act)

Company directors have the power to appoint an external administrator if they form the view that the company is insolvent, or is "likely to become insolvent at some future time."

Directors who are aware or suspect that the company is, or would become, insolvent by incurring debts can appoint administrators to avoid liability.

While company directors often view such a step as a last resort, administration can often provide an opportunity to restructure a business' liabilities, capital structure and in some cases equity structure for the benefit of the business.

If employed strategically, using the period of the COVID-19 safe harbour to plan and prepare for a successful administration, may enable a business to achieve positive outcomes using the administration process.

In the COVID-19 business environment, creditors, suppliers, landlords, shareholders, customers and other key stakeholders are likely to show a greater degree of flexibility towards, and acceptance of, an administration process than might usually be the case, providing greater opportunities for successful administration outcomes.

Under the *Coronavirus Economic Response Package Omnibus Act 2020* (Cth), the Treasurer has been given power to temporarily amend provisions of the *Corporations Act* to provide relief from specific obligations or to modify obligations to enable compliance with legal requirements during the crisis. The power will expire on 25 September 2020.

There is already much flexibility in the voluntary administration process with Courts having a quasi-legislative power under section 447A of the *Corporations Act* to "re-write" the voluntary administration provisions of the *Corporations Act* as required to better suit the restructuring in question.

In the COVID-19 business environment, it is reasonable to expect the courts to show some appetite for exploring innovative and creative proposals for business preservation and restructuring using this power.

The pre-COVID-19 safe harbour (section 588GA of the Corporations Act)

Before COVID-19, the *Corporations Act* already contained a mechanism to exclude the operation of insolvent trading provisions. That mechanism, commonly referred to as a safe harbour, continues to exist and is particularly relevant to a business attempting to conduct transactions outside the ordinary course of its business as an attempt to restructure a business in financial distress.

The pre-COVID-19 safe harbour will operate where a director "at a particular time after he or she starts to suspect the company may become or be insolvent, starts developing one or more courses of action that are reasonably likely to lead to a better outcome for the company."

¹ On 7 September 2020, the Commonwealth Treasurer and Attorney General jointly announced regulations will be made to extend to moratorium to that date.

A "better outcome" is defined as "an outcome that is better for the company than the immediate appointment of an administrator, or liquidator, of the company."

An outcome for the company better than the immediate appointment of an administrator:

- Must, as a minimum, be an outcome which is superior to a liquidation outcome for all creditors. This requires consideration of the likely distribution to creditors in an immediate hypothetical winding up according to the order of priorities set out in the Corporations Act.
- To the extent that the outcome maximises the chances of the company, or its business, continuing in existence, this will be a factor which contributes to it being a better outcome.
- May involve some reasonable speculation about the viability of a DOCA proposal, sale of the business or parts of the business or other aspects of how an administration might unfold – the process of administration means that there is inherent uncertainty as to its outcome. In certain situations, because of the creditor profile and the circumstances of the company's business, there may be greater predictability about a likely administration outcome than in other cases.
- Will protect the general body of creditors against a diminution of the company's assets by a transaction which confers an unfair or improper advantage on another party, in other words, it will exclude a transaction which would otherwise be a voidable transaction.

Insofar as the "better outcome" must be "reasonably likely" the Explanatory Memorandum to the legislation enacting the pre-COVID-19 safe harbour provisions explains that:

The phrase "reasonably likely" does not require a better than 50 per cent chance of a better outcome than the immediate appointment of an administrator or liquidator. "Reasonably likely" here requires that there is a chance of achieving a better outcome that is not fanciful or remote, but is "fair", "sufficient" or "worth noting".

The pre-COVID-19 safe harbour provisions give some guidance on what matters a director should consider when determining whether a course of action is reasonably likely to lead to a better outcome for the company, namely taking steps to:

- Properly inform him or herself of the company's financial position
- Prevent any misconduct by the officers and employees of the company that could adversely affect the company's ability to pay all its debts
- Ensure that the company is keeping appropriate financial records consistent with the size and nature of the company
- Obtain advice from an appropriately qualified entity which was given sufficient information to give appropriate advice
- Develop or implement a plan for restructuring the company to improve its financial position

The pre-COVID-19 safe harbour provisions impose a time limit on the period during which a director can rely on the safe harbour protection. The protection starts from the point in time that the debt is incurred and will end on the earliest of:

- (If the course of action is not taken), a reasonable period after that time
- When the company ceases to take the course of action
- When the course of action ceases to be reasonably likely to lead to a better outcome
- When an administrator or liquidator is appointed to the company

This requires the director to continually monitor the course of action proposed to be taken by the company to lead to a better outcome and continually assess and reassess whether the steps taken are reasonably likely lead to a better outcome.

For the pre-COVID-19 safe harbour provisions to provide protection to a director of a company, the director *must* ensure that the company:

- Pays all employee entitlements on or before the date when they are due to be paid
- Gives all returns, notices, statements, applications or other documents as required by taxation laws within the meaning of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (Cth)

A director will be disqualified from protection under the pre-COVID 19 safe harbour provisions if compliance with these obligations is less than substantial or if the company has failed two or more times to meet the obligations within the 12-month period preceding the date when the debt is incurred.

Defences (section 588H of the Corporations Act)

Aside from the safe harbour provisions a director will not be liable for insolvent trading if, at the time the debt was incurred:

- The director had reasonable grounds to expect that the company was solvent and would remain solvent even if the debt was incurred.
- The director was relying on another person to provide information concerning the company's solvency and the director had reasonable grounds to believe that the person providing that information was competent, reliable and in a position to provide adequate and informed information.

Discretionary Relief (section 1318 of the Corporations Act)

The Corporations Act also gives the Court the power to excuse directors from liability for negligence, default, breach of trust or breach of duty.

If it appears to the Court that the person has acted honestly and that, having regard to all the circumstances of the case, the director ought fairly to be excused for the negligence, default or breach, the Court may relieve the person either wholly or partly from liability on such terms as the court thinks fit.

Such relief is not commonly granted by the Courts and should generally be considered as an option of last resort.

Interaction with Directors' other ongoing duties

Because the safe harbour provisions only prevent the operation of the insolvent trading provisions, the other provisions of the Corporations Act and directors' other duties under common law will continue to apply. This means that directors must comply with all their other legal obligations and in particular:

Director's duties

A director must:

- Exercise the due degree of care and diligence (section 180 of the Corporations Act)
- Act in good faith in the best interests of the company and for a proper purpose (section 181 of the Corporations Act)
- Avoid improper use of his or her position or information to gain an advantage for him- or herself or someone else or to cause detriment (sections 182 & 183 of the Corporations Act)

- Provide a declaration as to solvency and that the company's financial statements comply with accounting standards and give a true and fair view of the company's financial position and performance (s344 of the Corporations Act)

In a situation of financial instability or near insolvency, these duties mean that a director must prevent the company from embarking on a course of action which an honest and intelligent person in the position of the directors of the company could not have reasonably believed was in the interests of the company, having in mind the interests of the company's creditors.

Duty to prevent the company entering into "creditor-defeating dispositions" (section 588GAB of the Corporations Act)

These are transactions which involve some disposal or transfer of company property:

- The consideration paid for which was less than either the market value of the property or the best price that was reasonably obtainable for the property, having regard to the circumstances existing at that time.
- Which have the effect of preventing, hindering, or significantly delaying, the process of making the property available for the benefit of the company's creditors in the winding-up of the company.

A director can be personally liable if:

- The company is insolvent at the time of the disposition, the disposition makes the company insolvent or the disposition directly or indirectly leads to the appointment of an external administrator within 12 months of the transaction taking place.
- The director knows, or a reasonable person in the position of the director would know, that the disposition is a creditor-defeating disposition.

This duty became law on 17 February 2020 with the enactment of the *Treasury Laws Amendment (Combating Illegal Phoenixing) Act 2020* (Cth) which amended the Corporations Act to include this duty.

Those legislative changes also provide that the pre-COVID-19 safe harbour provisions apply to a director's duty to prevent the company entering into "creditor-defeating dispositions."

Duty to prevent the company entering into transactions likely to lead to employees being worse off in a liquidation scenario (Part 5.8A of the Corporations Act).

These are transactions which would have the effect of avoiding, preventing or significantly reducing the amount of the entitlements of employees of a company that can be recovered if the company were to enter liquidation.

Directors can be liable for loss or damage for any transactions that led to employees being worse off in a liquidation scenario.

As such, directors are required to actively form a view that the transactions will enhance employee recoveries, or, at least, are not likely to have the effect of avoiding, preventing, or significantly reducing recovery of employee entitlements in all scenarios, but in particular a potential liquidation scenario.

Directors need to take particular care about employee liabilities that might crystallise in a liquidation context but which are not presently due and payable (for example, redundancy or long service leave entitlement).

This duty became law on 6 April 2019 with the enactment of the *Corporations Amendment (Strengthening Protections for Employee Entitlements) Act 2019* (Cth).

There is no safe harbour from this obligation, so it is important that, if a company is in distress or facing possible insolvency, directors are actively monitoring employee entitlements and making decisions taking this duty into account.

Conclusion

The COVID-19 measures announced by the Australian Government to relieve directors of insolvent trading liability when the company is undertaking transactions in the ordinary course is a welcome development.

However, where directors seek to turnaround or restructure their businesses to respond to circumstances of financial distress, careful consideration will be required as to the best strategies bearing in mind the directors' various legal duties.

Author



Noel McCoy
Partner, Sydney
Tel +61 2 9330 8133
noel.mccoy@nortonrosefulbright.com

Contacts



Scott Atkins
Partner, Sydney
Tel +61 2 9330 8015
scott.atkins@nortonrosefulbright.com



Jeff Black
Partner, Perth
Tel +61 8 6212 3203
jeffery.black@nortonrosefulbright.com



David Goldman
Partner, Sydney
Tel +61 2 9330 8231
david.goldman@nortonrosefulbright.com



Laura Johns
Partner, Sydney
Tel +61 2 9330 8101
laura.johns@nortonrosefulbright.com



John Martin
Partner, Sydney
Tel +61 2 9330 8122
john.martin@nortonrosefulbright.com



Noel McCoy
Partner, Sydney
Tel +61 2 9330 8133
noel.mccoy@nortonrosefulbright.com



Chris McLeod
Partner, Perth
Tel +61 8 6212 3204
chris.mcleod@nortonrosefulbright.com



Tim Mornane
Partner, Sydney
Tel +61 2 9330 8572
tim.mornane@nortonrosefulbright.com



Alex Mufford
Partner, Sydney
Tel +61 2 9330 8150
alex.mufford@nortonrosefulbright.com



Steven Palmer
Partner, Melbourne
Tel +61 3 8686 6294
steven.palmer@nortonrosefulbright.com



Claudine Salameh
Partner, Sydney
Tel +61 2 9330 8195
claudine.salameh@nortonrosefulbright.com



Peter Schmidt
Partner, Brisbane
Tel +61 7 3414 2930
peter.schmidt@nortonrosefulbright.com



Mark Schneider
Partner, Brisbane
Tel +61 7 3414 2239
mark.schneider@nortonrosefulbright.com



Helen Taylor
Partner, Sydney
Tel +61 2 9330 8218
helen.taylor@nortonrosefulbright.com



Natasha Toholka
Partner, Melbourne
Tel +61 3 8686 6970
natasha.toholka@nortonrosefulbright.com



Jonathon Turner
Partner, Sydney
Tel +61 2 9330 8253
jonathon.turner@nortonrosefulbright.com



Bernie Walrut
Partner, Sydney
Tel +61 2 9330 8263
bernie.walrut@nortonrosefulbright.com



Norton Rose Fulbright is a global law firm. We provide the world's preeminent corporations and financial institutions with a full business law service. We have more than 3700 lawyers and other legal staff based in Europe, the United States, Canada, Latin America, Asia, Australia, Africa and the Middle East.

Law around the world

nortonrosefulbright.com

Norton Rose Fulbright Verein, a Swiss verein, helps coordinate the activities of Norton Rose Fulbright members but does not itself provide legal services to clients. Norton Rose Fulbright has offices in more than 50 cities worldwide, including London, Houston, New York, Toronto, Mexico City, Hong Kong, Sydney and Johannesburg. For more information, see nortonrosefulbright.com/legal-notices. The purpose of this communication is to provide information as to developments in the law. It does not contain a full analysis of the law nor does it constitute an opinion of any Norton Rose Fulbright entity on the points of law discussed. You must take specific legal advice on any particular matter which concerns you. If you require any advice or further information, please speak to your usual contact at Norton Rose Fulbright.

© Norton Rose Fulbright Australia. Extracts may be copied provided their source is acknowledged.
27201_AU – 09/20